Diagnostica di laboratorio avanzata nelle malattie neuroinfettive acute

Paola Cinque Unità di Malattie Infettive IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele | Milano

VII Congresso Nazionale ANEU Controversie in neurologia d'emergenza e urgenza Roma 29 Settembre - 1 Ottobre 2022

CNS infections



He T et al., Curr Infect Dis Rep (2016) 18: 35

The laboratory diagnostic landscape in acute CNS infections

- CSF standard exam
- CSF microscopy
- CSF (and blood) culture
- CSF antigen detection
- CSF molecular assays: nucleic acid amplification (e.g., PCR) and sequencing
- Antibody detection in CSF and plasma (IgG, IgM)
- Intrathecal ab synthesis (CSF and plasma)
- CSF biomarkers (inflammation, BBB and neuronal damage)

CSF molecular assays for diagnosis of acute CNS infections in 2022

Achievements

- Fully entered the microbiology diagnostic labs (especially viral diagnostics): accurate, timely, cost-effective
- Several commercial assays available

Challenges

- Nonviral microbial diagnostics relatively slow to adopt molecular technology
- Standardization (e.g., quantitative PCR results)

\rightarrow Still clinical needs not fully met

Main diagnostic challenges from a clinical point of view

Most cases of acute CNS infections are probably undiagnosed (more viral than bacterial)

- Uncommon or emerging infections with CNS involvement (e.g., WNV, Covid19, MPX)
- Low assay sensitivity (e.g., ongoing antibiotics, culture 'hostile' pathogens)

Most cases of encephalitis go undiagnosed California Encephalitis Project, 1998 – 2005



Glaser et al., CID 2006

'Broader' molecular diagnostic approaches

• Multiplex PCR

• Broad-range PCR and sequencing (amplification through universal primers of one or several regions of 16s, 18s, ITS*)

Metagenomics Next generation sequencing

**internal transcribed spacer*

The FilmArray meningitis/encephalitis panel (FA/ME)

- Multiplex PCR that in one reaction amplifies DNA fragments from 14 different CNS pathogens
- Increase diagnostic yield, impact animicrobial treatment, shorten time to diagnosis and hospital stay
- Turn-around time (about 1 hour)



Overall: 94.2% sensitivity and 99.8% specificity

Viruses

- Cytomegalovirus (CMV)
- Enterovirus
- Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1)
- Herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2)
- Human herpes virus 6 (HHV-6)
- Human parechovirus
- Varicella zoster virus (VZV)

Bacteria

- Escherichia coli K1
- ▶ Haemophilus influenzae
- Listeria monocytogenes
- Neisseria meningitidis
- Streptococcus agalactiae
- Streptococcus pneumoniae

Yeast

Cryptococcus neoformans/gattii

https://www.biofi redx.com/products/the-fi lmarray-panels/fi lmarrayme/

The FilmArray meningitis/encephalitis panel: sensitivitiy and specificity

Meta-analysis of 19 studies (11,351 participants). Trujillo-Gómez J et al., EClinicalMedicine. 2022)

Diagnostic value for bacteria

Sen	sitivity		Specifi	city		Sensit	ivity		Spec	cificity
Leber 2016 Hanson 2016 Arora 2017 Piccirilli 2018 Barnes 2018 Leli 2019 Lopez-Amor 2019 Radmard 2019 Bailu 2019 Eichinger 2019 Boudet 2019 Domingues 2019 Vincent 2020	0.88 [0.53, 0.98] 0.91 [0.62, 0.98] 0.94 [0.63, 0.99] 0.88 [0.40, 0.99] 0.50 [0.57, 0.98] 0.93 [0.56, 0.99] 0.89 [0.57, 0.98] 0.93 [0.56, 0.99] 0.78 [0.55, 0.91] 0.97 [0.75, 1.00] 0.95 [0.68, 1.00] 0.86 [0.49, 0.97] 0.86 [0.49, 0.97]	Leber 2016 Hanson 2016 Arora 2017 Piccirilli 2018 Barnes 2018 Leli 2019 Lopez-Arnor 2019 Radmard 2019 Bailu 2019 Eichinger 2019 Boudet 2019 Domingues 2019 Vincent 2020 Ena 2021 Peñata 2020		0.99 [0.98, 0.99] 0.94 [0.89, 0.97] 0.97 [0.89, 0.99] 0.96 [0.70, 1.00] 0.97 [0.94, 0.99] 0.99 [0.94, 1.00] 0.75 [0.47, 0.91] 1.00 [0.99, 1.00] 0.80 [0.67, 0.89] 1.00 [0.97, 1.00] 0.99 [0.98, 1.00] 0.96 [0.94, 0.98] 1.00 [0.99, 1.00] 0.87 [0.73, 0.94] 0.96 [0.94, 0.97]	Arora 2017 Bailu 2019 Barnes 2018 Boudet 2019 Chong 2021 Domingues 2019 Eichinger 2019 Ena 2021 Hanson 2016 Leber 2016 Leli 2019 Lopez-Amor 2019 Piccirilli 2018 Radmard 2019		0.95 [0.66, 0.99] 0.91 [0.73, 0.98] 0.93 [0.56, 0.99] 0.97 [0.77, 1.00] 0.92 [0.52, 0.99] 0.98 [0.84, 1.00] 0.88 [0.40, 0.99] 0.88 [0.62, 0.97] 0.94 [0.72, 0.99] 0.93 [0.69, 0.99] 0.94 [0.60, 0.99] 0.94 [0.60, 0.99] 0.91 [0.62, 0.98] 0.88 [0.40, 0.99] 0.93 [0.56, 0.99]	Arora 2017 Bailu 2019 Barnes 2018 Boudet 2019 Chong 2021 Domingues 2019 Eichinger 2019 Ena 2021 Hanson 2016 Leber 2016 Leli 2019 Lopez-Amor 2019 Piccirilli 2018 Radmard 2019		0.99 [0.92, 1.00] 0.93 [0.82, 0.98] 1.00 [0.98, 1.00] 1.00 [0.99, 1.00] 1.00 [0.97, 1.00] 1.00 [0.97, 1.00] 1.00 [0.97, 1.00] 0.99 [0.88, 1.00] 0.99 [0.88, 1.00] 0.99 [0.93, 0.99] 0.99 [0.99, 1.00] 1.00 [0.96, 1.00] 0.90 [0.60, 0.98] 0.96 [0.70, 1.00] 1.00 [0.99, 1.00]
Chong 2021	0.92 [0.52, 0.99]	Chong 2021	H	1.00 [0.97, 1.00]	Vincent 2020	⊢	0.88 [0.66, 0.97]	Vincent 2020		1.00 [0.99, 1.00]
0.05 0.29 0.53 Sensitivity	ר 0 0		0.47 0.73 0.87 Specificity			0.40 0.55 0.70 0.85 1.00 Sensitivity		c	0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 Specificity	

Ref srd 1: CSF or blood culture Sensitivity 89.5% (95%CI 81.1–94.4) Specificity 97.4% (95%CI 94–98.9). Ref srd 2: final dx adjudication based on clinical/laboratory criteria Sensitivity 92.1% (95%CI 86.8–95.3) Specificity 99.2 % (95%CI 98.3–99.6) The FilmArray meningitis/encephalitis panel: sensitivitiy and specificity

Bacteria:

Reference srd 1 (16 studies/6183 patients): sensitivity 89.5% specificity 97.4% Reference srd 2 (15 studies/5,524 patients): sensitivity 92.1%, specificity 99.2 %

Viruses:

HSV-2, enteroviruses, VZV: sensitivities 75.5 - 93.8%, specificities > 99%

→ Acceptable-to-high sensitivities and high specificities for identifying bacteria, especially *S.pneumoniae*, and viruses, especially HSV-2 and enteroviruses.

→ Sensitivities for *L.monocytogenes, H. influenzae, E.coli,* and HSV-1 suboptimal.

Trujillo-Gómez J et al., EClinicalMedicine. 202

CSF Omics...?

- Genomics
- Lipidomics
- Proteomics
- Foodomics
- Transcriptomics
- Metabolomics
- ...
- Metagenomics

Metagenomics Next Generation Sequencing (mNGS)

Metagenomics: Analyzing a mixture of microbial genomes, a metagenome, without separating the genomes or culturing the organisms

Next-generation sequencing (NGS): A collection of DNA sequencing methods that each use different biochemical approaches and instruments to produce data 1) in vastly larger amounts, 2) at greatly lower cost, 3) in shorter time, and 4) with less manual intervention than previous methods





Attaching adaptors for barcoding and preparation of a sequencing library

are simiultaneously and indendently sequenced

are removed

Goldberg B et al., mBio 2015







Attaching adaptors for barcoding and preparation of a sequencing library

are simiultaneously and indendently sequenced

are removed

Goldberg B et al., mBio 2015

Clinical Metagenomic Sequencing for Diagnosis of Meningitis and Encephalitis

- 1-year, multicenter, prospective study
- 204 patients
 - Mean age 39.6 years; 46 (22.5%)
 <18 years; 55.9% M
 - Admitted to ICU: 48.5%
 - 30-day mortality: 11.3%

- Meningitis: 70 pts (34.3%)
- Encephalitis: 130 pts (63.7%)
- Myelitis: 4 pts (2.0%)

- Acute: 176 pts (86.3%)
- Acute exacerbation of a chronic condition: 28 pts (13.7%)



Infections Diagnosed by Metagenomic NGS



Etiologic diagnosis in 103 of 204 patients (50.5%)

	Concurrent diagnosis by mNGS and conventional microbiologic testing (n=19)		Dia (n=
1	Angiostrongylus cantonensis Angiostrongylus cantonensis	1	<i>Can</i> FBV
3	Coxsackievirus B5	3	Ech
1	Cryptococcus neoformans	4	Ech
5	Cryptococcus neoformans	5	Ente
5	Cryptococcus neoformans	6	Ente
7	EBV (encephalitis)	7	HE∖
3	EBV (PTLD-associated)	8	ΜW
Э	Echovirus 11	9	Neis
10	HHV-6	10	Noc
11	HHV-6	11	St L
12	HIV-1 (encephalopathy)	12	Stre
13	HIV-1 (HIV escape)	13	Stre
14	HSV-1		
15	HSV-2		
16	JC polyomavirus		
17	VZV		
18	VZV		
19	VZV		

gnosis by mNGS only 13)

- ndida tropicalis
- (lymphoma-associated)
- ovirus 6
- ovirus 30
- erobacter aerogenes
- erococcus faecalis
- V polyomavirus
- sseria meningitidis
- cardia farcinica
- ouis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV)
- eptococcus agalactiae
- eptococcus mitis

Infections **NOT** Diagnosed by Metagenomic NGS



Etiologic diagnosis in 103 of 204 patients (50.5%)

mNGS 'false negative cases (n=26)

- 11 cases diagnosed by **serologic testing alone**, for which conventional CSF tests were also negative (including WNV, VZV, neurosyphilis)
- 7 cases cases diagnosed from **samples other than CSF** (e.g., brain biopsy)
- 8 cases with low pathogen titers in CSF, as by conventional microbiologic tests that were borderline + or had discordant results (including infections from *M. bovis*, *M. tuberculosis*, *C. neoformans*, *P. acnes*, fusobacterium, *S. aureus*, CMV, HSV-2)
- Samples for mNGS frequently obtained later than those examined at first by conventionsl CSF testing

Results of Metagenomic NGS Testing and Clinical Effect

E Clinical Effect (13 cases diagnosed by metagenomic NGS only)							
	 N. farcinica — long-term treatment with oral moxifloxacin and minocycline Candida tropicalis — treatment with high-dose fluconazole and liposomal amphotericin B (started empirically for elevated 1,3-β-D-glucan level) HEV — successful treatment with IV ribavirin after patient was readmitted with liver failure and consideration of liver transplantation 						
7 (54%) Enabled appropriate and targeted treatment 1 (8%) Helped to rule out coinfections; enabled patient to proceed with	—E. aerogenes — narrowing of antibiotic therapy to IV cefepime and oral trimethoprim—sulfamethoxazole						
chemotherapy (EBV-associated lymphoma)	<i>—Enterococcus faecalis</i> — narrowing of antibiotic therapy to IV vancomycin;						
1 (8%) Supported clinical decisions to narrow coverage (neisseria)	discontinuation of meropenem						
 2 (15%) Had no effect, because patient already discharged from hospital (enterovirus) 	—S. mitis — narrowing of antibiotic therapy to IV cefepime; continuation of antibiotics for 4 wk to treat CNS infection						
 1 (8%) Had no effect, because clinical significance unclear (MW polyomavirus) 1 (8%) Provided reassurance to patient or surrogate (SLEV) 	S. agalactiae — treatment with an additional 4 wk of therapy with IV ceftriaxone and vancomycin						

Wilson MR et al., NEJM 2019

Supplementary mNGS Analyses

Wilson MR et al., NEJM 2019

C Supplementary Metagenomic NGS Analyses (15 cases discussed during CMSB meetings)

- 5, Viral genotyping (SLEV, HEV, enteroviruses [3 cases]) 1, Analysis of antibiotic-resistance genes (*Enterobacter aerogenes*)
- 2, Prediction of resistance to antiviral drugs (HIV-1)
- Detection and tracking of new or rare infectious agents (MW polyomavirus, Angiostrongylus cantonensis [2 cases])
- 2, Detection of pathogen reads below reporting threshold (Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex, astrovirus MLB1)
- 2, Accurate species identification (Nocardia farcinica, Streptococcus mitis)

Advanced laboratory diagnosis of acute CNS infections: wrap-up

Past and present: hypothesis-driven diagnostics

Future (mNGS): additional potential of unbiased hypothesis-free diagnostics

mNGS strength: ability to detect many microorganisms directly

mNGS weakness:

- Differently from culture (that indicates the presence of living organisms), the presence of microbial DNA is less definitive (DNA 'contamination' or 'innocent bystander' effect)
- Feasibility and costs still an issue

mNGS of CSF is a potential step forward in the diagnosis of acute CNS infections

- Identify unexpected or hard-to-diagnose or emerging CNS infections
- Clinical effect: guide earlier and more targeted treatments for CNS infections
- Supplementary analyses: characterise disease phenotypes for clinical and epidemiological purposes
- Accelerate workup and treatment for noninfectious causes

Real-life study: the highest diagnostic yield from a combination of CSF mNGS and conventional testing!

Back-up

Diagnosis by metagenomic NGS only (13 infections)

Orthogonal Confirmatory Testing

1	Candida tropicalis	Serum and CSF 1,3-β-D-glucan (+); CSF culture (–) CSF fungal 28S rRNA and ITS PCR assay, C. tropicalis (+) (UW)
2	EBV (lymphoma-associated)	CSF qPCR assay for EBV (+), 700 IU/ml (Viracor)
3	Echovirus 6	CSF RT-PCR assay for EV (+), confirmed by Sanger sequencing
4	Echovirus 30	CSF RT-PCR assay for EV (+), confirmed by Sanger sequencing
5	Enterobacter aerogenes	CSF bacterial culture (–); CSF bacterial 16S rRNA PCR assay (–) (UW) CSF PCR assay for E. aerogenes (renamed Klebsiella aerogenes) (+), confirmed by Sanger sequencing
6	Enterococcus faecalis	CSF bacterial culture (–); FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel (–) CSF bacterial 16S rRNA PCR assay (–) (UW); brain biopsy , E. faecalis by culture (+)
7	HEV	CSF IgM assay for HEV (+); CSF IgG assay for HEV (-); CSF RT-PCR assay for HEV (+), 5.96 million copies/ml
8	MW polyomavirus	CSF PCR assay for MW polyomavirus (+), confirmed by Sanger sequencing
9	Neisseria meningitidis	CSF Gram's stain, gram-negative diplococci (+); CSF bacterial culture (–); CSF N. meningitidis antigen assay (–); FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel (–) (UCLA) Neisseria, probably not N. meningitidis, by CSF metagenomic NGS and phylogenetic analysis
10	Nocardia farcinica	CSF bacterial culture (–); CSF bacterial 16S rRNA PCR assay (–) (UW) CSF PCR assay for N. farcinica (+), confirmed by Sanger sequencing
11	SLEV	CSF RT-PCR assay for SLEV (+) (CDC)
12	Streptococcus agalactiae	CSF bacterial culture (–) FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel, S. agalactiae (+) (BioFire) (SJCRH) CSF bacterial 16S rRNA PCR assay, S. mitis group (+) (UW)
13	S. mitis	CSF bacterial culture (–); FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel (–)

Concurrent diagnosis by metagenomic NGS and conventional microbiologic testing (19 infections)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

HHV-6

HSV-1

HSV-2

VZV

VZV

VZV

11 HHV-6

Relevant Clinical Microbiologic Test

CSF PCR assay for A. cantonensis (+) (CDC) Angiostrongylus cantonensis CSF PCR assay for A. cantonensis (+) (CDC) A. cantonensis Coxsackievirus B5⁺ CSF RT-PCR assay for EV (+), 800 copies/ml CSF culture for C. neoformans (+); CSF cryptococcal antigen assay (+), 1:640 Cryptococcus neoformans C. neoformans CSF culture for C. neoformans (+); FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis; panel, C. neoformans (+) C. neoformans CSF culture for C. neoformans (+) CSF PCR assay for EBV (+), 2000 copies/ml EBV (encephalitis)[‡] EBV (PTLD-associated) CSF qPCR assay for EBV (+), <50 IU/ml (Viracor) Echovirus 11⁺ FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel, EV (+) (BioFire) FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel, HHV-6 (+) (BioFire) CSF PCR assay for HHV-6 (+), 536,000 copies/ml (Viracor) HIV-1 (encephalopathy) CSF PCR assay for HIV-1 (+), 6900 copies/ml (ARUP Laboratories) CSF PCR assay for HIV-1 (+), 36,000 copies/ml (ARUP Laboratories) HIV-1 (HIV escape) CSF PCR assay for HSV-1 (+) by Simplexa (UCSF) CSF PCR assay for HSV-2 (+), 166,000 copies/ml (Viracor) JC polyomavirus CSF PCR assay for JC polyomavirus (+), 162,000 copies/ml (Viracor) FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel, VZV (+) (BioFire) FilmArray Meningitis/Encephalitis panel, VZV (+) (BioFire) CSF PCR assay for VZV (+), (BioFire)

Supplementary Metagenomic NGS Analyses

- A. Prediction of antiviral drug resistance (HIV)
- B. Viral genotyping (EV)
- C. Longitudinal tracking of viral infection (MW polyomavirus)
- D. Accurate specimen identification (*Streptococcus mitis*)
- E. Analysis of antibiotic resistant genes (*Enterobacter aerogenes*)

Wilson MR et al., NEJM 2019



Diagnosis of CNS Infection by CSF Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) analysis

A 14-year-old boy with SCID and partial immune reconstitution after two haploidentical bone marrow transplantations





Wilson et al., NEJM 2014



Diagnosis of Leptospira Infection by CSF Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) analysis

Nucleic acid extraction from 750 μL of CSF

8,187,737 reads derived from patient's serum and CSF

In CSF, the majority of bacterial reads (475 of 589 reads; 80.6%) corresponded to the Leptospiraceae family

(Turnaround time: 48 h)

PCR analysis and sequencing revealed that CSF harbored *L. Santarosai*

Wilson et al., NEJM 2014





Acute necrotizing encephalopathy with SARS-CoV-2 RNA in CSF

- F, 55, Covid-19 pneumonia and SARS-CoV2 positive NP swab
- Letargy \rightarrow coma
- MRI: symmetric signal alteration in central thalami, subinsular regions, medial temporal lobes and brain stem.
- SARS-CoV2 in CSF 19 days after symptom onset after testing negative twice.
- Extremely high CSF oncentrations of NFL and tau, gFAP.
- Treatment with IV immunoglobulins and PLEX
- Improvement with extubation 4 weeks after symptom onset.





Virhammar et al., Neurology, September 2020

Undetectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in CSF in patients with COVID-19 and neurological manifestations

Neurological outcomes	Number of cases	Days between CSF withdrawn and symptoms onset	RT-qPCR in nasopharyngeal swab	RT- qPCR in CSF	Other viruses tested negative in CSF	References
Meningoencephalitis (1), Encephalitis (1), Facial palsy (2), delirium (2), intracranial hypertension (1), new daily persistent headache $(1)^a$	8	2 to 10	positive	negative	HSV-1/2, VZV, CMV, EBV, HHV-6, Influenza A and B viruses	This study ^b
Meningoencephalitis	1	9	negative ^c	positive	n.i.	Moriguchi et al (2020)
Meningoencephalitis	2	5 and 17	positive	negative	Enterovirus, HSV-1/2, VZV, CMV, HHV-6, Parechovirus	Bernard-Valnet et al. (2020)
Autoimmune meningoencephalitis	6	n.i.	positive	negative	Common seasonal viruses (not specified)	Dogan et al. (2020)
Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis	1	n.i.	positive	negative	Neurotropic viruses (not specified)	Zanin et al. (2020)
GBS	5	9 to 13	4 positive and 1 negative ^c	negative	n.i.	Toscano et al. (2020)
GBS	1	10	positive	negative	Enterovirus, HSV-1/2, VZV, CMV, HHV-6, Parechovirus	Coen et al. (2020)
GBS	1	10	positive	negative	n.i.	Ottaviani et al. (2020)
GBS	1	7	positive	negative	n.i.	Alberti et al. (2020)
Facial diplegia (GBS variant)	1	11	positive	negative	n.i.	Juliao Caamaño and Alonso Beato (2020)
Perfusion abnormalities in brain MRI	7	n.i.	positive	negative	n.i.	Helms et al. (2020)
Acute cerebrovascular disease	2	7 and 10	positive	negative	n.i.	Al Saiegh et al (2020)
Encephalitis	1	19	positive	negative	n.i.	Ye et al. (2020
בהכפסהמוונוג	I	5	positive	negative	Enterovirus, HSV-1/2, VZV, EBV, HHV-6, HHV- 8. Adenovirus	(2020)

de Melo Espíndola et al., Int J Inf Dis, May 2020